# Final Examination <br> Numerical Optimization MAD 5420-Spring 2004 <br> Prof I.M.Navon 

Time: 2 hours

Please solve 2 out of the 4 application questions as well as 2 out of 4 theoretical questions:

## Applications

## Numerical part

Solve 2 out of 4 questions

1. Consider the steepest descent method ( $p_{k}$ is the search direction ) $p_{k}=-\nabla f\left(x_{k}\right)$ with exact line search to solve

$$
\min f\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)=4 x_{1}^{2}+2 x_{2}^{2}+4 x_{1} x_{2}-3 x_{1}
$$

from the point $(2,2)^{T}$. Perform 3 iterations.
Show that the exact line search is giving the a stepsize $\alpha_{k}$, such that,

$$
\alpha_{k}=\frac{-\nabla f\left(x_{k}\right)^{T} p_{k}}{p_{k}{ }^{T} Q p_{k}}
$$

2. Consider the Quasi-Newton method BFGS. Let

$$
s_{k}=x_{k+1}-x_{k}
$$

and

$$
\begin{gathered}
y_{k}=\nabla f\left(x_{k+1}\right)-\nabla f\left(x_{k}\right) \\
B_{k+1}=B_{k}-\frac{\left(B_{k} s_{k}\right)\left(B_{k} s_{k}\right)^{T}}{s_{k}^{T} B_{k} s_{k}}+\frac{y_{k} y_{k}^{T}}{y_{k}^{T} s_{k}}
\end{gathered}
$$

minimize

$$
f\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)=x_{1}-x_{2}+2 x_{1}^{2}+2 x_{1} x_{2}+x_{2}^{2}
$$

from the starting point $\underline{x_{0}}=(0,0)^{T}$.
Use

$$
B=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 0 \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right)
$$

Carry out 3 iterations.

Hint:
To find the minimizing step length $\alpha^{*}$ along $s_{1}$, minimize $f\left(x_{1}+\alpha_{k} s_{1}\right)$, STOP if $\left\|\nabla f_{k}\right\|_{2}<\epsilon$. Take $\epsilon$ to be equal to 0.01 .
3. Consider the augmented Lagrangian method for equality constrained minimization.

$$
\min \mathcal{L}(x, \lambda, \rho)=f(x)-\lambda^{T} g(x)+\frac{1}{2} \rho g(x)^{T} g(x)
$$

to minimize $f(X)$ such that $g(x)=0$. Here we use the necessary conditions $\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{i}}, i=1,2$ to solve the unconstrained subproblem.

Update using

$$
\begin{gathered}
\lambda_{k+1}=\lambda_{k}+\rho_{k} g\left(x_{k}\right) \\
\rho_{k+1}=\beta \rho_{k}, \beta>1
\end{gathered}
$$

Start with $\lambda_{0}=0, \rho_{k}=0.18^{k}$ to minimize

$$
f\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)=\frac{1}{2}\left(x_{1}^{2}+\frac{1}{3} x_{2}^{2}\right)
$$

such that

$$
g(x)=x_{1}+x_{2}-1=0
$$

Carry out 3 minimizations. Show that the use of first order necessary conditions leads to

$$
\begin{gathered}
x_{1}{ }^{(k)}=\frac{\rho_{k}-\lambda_{k}}{1+4 \rho_{k}} \\
x_{2}{ }^{(k)}=\frac{3\left(\rho_{k}-\lambda_{k}\right)}{1+4 \rho_{k}} .
\end{gathered}
$$

4. Consider the penalty method of quadratic penalty type.

$$
\min f\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)=-x_{1} x_{2}
$$

such that $g(x)=x_{1}+2 x_{2}-4=0$.
i.e.,

$$
\min \mathcal{P}(x, \rho)=-x_{1} x_{2}+\frac{1}{2} \rho\left(x_{1}+2 x_{2}-4\right)^{2}
$$

$\rho$ is the penalty parameter. Use necessary conditions of unconstrained minimization problem to show that for $\rho>\frac{1}{4}$,

$$
x_{1}(\rho)=x_{1}=\frac{8 \rho}{4 \rho-1}
$$

$$
x_{2}(\rho)=x_{2}=\frac{4 \rho}{4 \rho-1}
$$

and $x^{o p t}=(2,1)^{T}$.
Compute the condition number of the Hessian $\nabla_{x}^{2} \mathcal{P}(x, \rho)$ at $X(\rho)$ and show that it is approximately equal to $\frac{25 \rho}{4}$.

On the basis of this result, comment on the ill-conditioning of the penalty method when $\rho \rightarrow \infty$.

Theoretical part
Solve 2 out of the following 4 questions concerning theoretical aspects of numerical optimization:
1.
a. Describe the algorithmic form of the Davidon-Fletcher-Powell Quasi-Newton algorithm.
b. Prove the hereditary positive definiteness of DFP, i.e., if $H_{k}$ is positive definite so is $H_{k+1}$ i.e. show that:
$x^{T} H_{k+1} x>0$ for all $x \neq 0$
Hint: The DFP quasi Newton update formula is given by:
$H_{k+1}=H_{k}+\frac{p_{k} p_{k}^{T}}{p_{k}^{T} y_{k}}-\frac{H_{k} y_{k} y_{k}^{T} H_{k}}{y_{k}^{T} H_{k} y_{k}}$
$p_{k}=x_{k+1}-x_{k}$
$y_{k}=\nabla f\left(x_{k+1}\right)-\nabla f\left(x_{k}\right)$
2. Prove the conjugate-gradient theorem, i.e., that for the conjugate- gradient algorithm for the quadratic problem: $\min \frac{1}{2} x^{T} Q x-b^{T} x$ :

$$
\begin{gathered}
d_{0}=-g_{0} \text { or } b-Q x_{0} \\
\alpha_{k}=\frac{-g_{k}^{T} d_{k}}{d_{k}^{T} Q d_{k}} \\
d_{k+1}=-g_{k+1}+\beta_{k} d_{k} \\
\beta_{k}=\frac{g_{k+1} Q d_{k}}{d_{k}^{T} Q d_{k}}
\end{gathered}
$$

is a conjugate direction method. If it does not terminate at $x_{k}$ then:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { a) }\left[g_{0}, g_{1}, \ldots, g_{k}\right] & =\left[g_{0}, Q g_{0}, \ldots, Q^{k} g_{0}\right] \\
b)\left[d_{0}, d_{1}, \ldots, d_{k}\right] & =\left[g_{0}, Q g_{0}, \ldots, Q^{k} g_{0}\right] \\
c) d_{k} Q d_{i} & =0 \text { for } i \leq k-1 \\
d) \alpha_{k} & =\frac{g_{k}^{T} g_{k}}{d_{k}^{T} Q d_{k}} \\
e) \beta_{k} & =\frac{g_{k+1}^{T} g_{k+1}}{g_{k}^{T} g_{k}}
\end{aligned}
$$

3. Prove the second order necessary conditions Theorem for equality constraints. The Theorem states: Suppose $x^{*}$ is a local minimum of the function $f$ subject to the constraints

$$
h(x)=0
$$

and $x^{*}$ is a regular point of these constraints. Then there exists a $\lambda \epsilon E^{m}$ such that:

$$
\nabla f\left(x^{*}\right)+\lambda^{T} \nabla h\left(x^{*}\right)=0
$$

If we denote by $M$ the tangent plane:

$$
M=\left\{y: \nabla h\left(x^{*}\right) y=0\right\}
$$

Then the matrix:

$$
L\left(x^{*}\right)=G\left(x^{*}\right)+\lambda^{T} H\left(x^{*}\right)
$$

where $H=\nabla^{2} h_{i}\left(x^{*}\right)$ and $G$ is the Hessian of the function $f$, is positive semi-definite on $M$ for all $y \in M$. That is:

$$
y^{T} L\left(x^{*}\right) y \geq 0
$$

for all $y \in M$.
Here

$$
L=G+\lambda^{T} H
$$

is the matrix of second order partial derivatives, with respect to x of the Lagrangian

$$
l(x, \lambda)=f(x)+\lambda^{T} h(x)
$$

4. Describe the algorithm for sequential quadratic programming (SQP).

It is used as a generalization of Newton's method for unconstrained minimization by obtaining a search direction by solving a problem with quadratic objective function and linear constraints

Derive it from the basic problem:
Minimize $\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{x})$
Subject to $g(x)=0$
Write Lagrangian for problem and first optimality conditions and write formula for Newton's method updating direction and Lagrange multipliers.

Show how you obtain the quadratic program:
$\left(\begin{array}{cc}\nabla_{x x}^{2} L & -\nabla g \\ -\nabla g^{T} & 0\end{array}\right)\binom{p}{v}=\binom{-\nabla_{x} L}{g}$
And the new estimates of the solution. Describe the SQP algorithm.
b. Since the progress of the SQP method is measured using merit functions prove the following theorem regarding descent direction for the merit function:

Assume that $\left(p_{k}, v_{k}\right)$ is computed as solution of the quadratic program

Minimize
$\mathrm{p} \quad \frac{1}{2} p^{T} H p+p^{T}\left[\nabla_{x} L\left(x_{k}, \lambda_{k}\right)\right]$
subject to $\left[\nabla g\left(x_{k}\right)\right]^{T} p+g\left(x_{k}\right)=0$.
where H is some positive definite approximation to $\nabla_{x x}^{2} L\left(x_{k}, \lambda_{k}\right)$. If $p_{k} \neq 0$ then
$p_{k}{ }^{T} \nabla \mathrm{M}\left(x_{k}\right)<0$
For all sufficiently large values of penalty parameter $\rho$ where

$$
\mathrm{M}(\mathrm{x})=f(x)+\rho g(x)^{T} g(x)
$$

That is, $p_{k}$ is a descent direction with respect to this merit function.

